arXiv:1203.6640v1 [math.RT] 29 Mar 2012

Gröbner basis and the Anick resolution for $\mathfrak{U}_{\mathbb{K}}(sl_3^+)$.

Ivan Yudin
CMUC, Departamento de Matematica
Universidade de Coimbra
3001-454 Coimbra
Portugal
`yudin@mat.uc.pt` [*]

March 1, 2013

1 Introduction

Despite extension groups between modules over an algebra are very easy to define and taught nowadays in every standard course in homological algebra, it is still to be very difficult to compute them explicitly for a given pair of modules. One of such problems is a computation of extension groups between Weyl modules over the Schur algebra $S(n, r)$. It was shown in the joint work [4] of the author with Ana Paula Santana that this problem is closely related to the construction of a minimal projective resolution of the trivial module $\mathbb{K}$ over Kostant form $\mathfrak{U}_{\mathbb{K}}(sl_n^+)$ of the universal enveloping algebra of the Lie algebra sl_n^+.

In this paper we compute the first three steps of a minimal projective resolution of $\mathbb{K}$ for $n = 3$. For this we use the Anick resolution constructed in [1]. Our result depends on the knowledge of a Gröbner basis for $\mathfrak{U}_K(sl_n^+)$.

In the Section 2 we recall the definition of Gröbner basis and in the Section 6 the construction of the Anick's resolution. Then we proceed with the definition of $\mathfrak{U}_{\mathbb{K}}(sl_n^+)$ in Section 3. The Sections 4, 5, 7 contain new results. In particular, we describe the first three steps of the minimal projective resolution for trivial module over $\mathfrak{U}_{\mathbb{K}}\left(sl_3^+\right)$.

2 Gröbner basis

Let X be a set. We denote by X^* the set of all words with letters in X. Then X^* is a *free monoid* generated by X with the multiplication given by concatenation of words and the unity e given by the empty word. There is a partial order $\prec$ on X^* given by the incusion of words. Note that $\prec$ is the coarsest partial order on X^* such that X^* is an ordered monoid with e the least element of X^*. A *monoidal order* on X^* is a total order that refines $\prec$.

---

[*] The work is supported by the FCT Grant SFRH/BPD/31788/2006 and by CMUC and FCT (Portugal), through European program COMPETE/FEDER.

Let $\mathbb{K}$ be a field. We denote by $\mathbb{K}\langle X^*\rangle$ a vector space spanned by X^*. A vector space $\mathbb{K}\langle X^*\rangle$ is a *free associative algebra* generated by X. We will call the elements of X^* *monomials*, and the elements of $\mathbb{K}\langle X^*\rangle$ *polynomials*. Define the support of $p \in \mathbb{K}\langle X^*\rangle$ to be the set of element in X^* with non-zero coefficients in p. If $\leq$ is a monoidal order on X^* then we define the *leading monomial* $\mathrm{lm}(p)$ of $p \in \mathbb{K}\langle X^*\rangle$ to be the maximal element of support of p with respect $\leq$. Define the *leading term* $\mathrm{lm}(p)$ of p to be the leading monomial of p with coefficient it enters in p. A monoidal order $\leq$ on X^* can be extended to a partial order $\leq$ on $\mathbb{K}\langle X^*\rangle$ by the rule

$$\begin{aligned} p \leq q \Longleftrightarrow & \mathrm{lm}(p) < \mathrm{lm}(q) \\ & \mathrm{lt}(p) = \mathrm{lt}(q) \text{ and } p - \mathrm{lt}(p) \leq q - \mathrm{lt}(q). \end{aligned}$$

Note that in the case $\mathrm{lm}(p) = \mathrm{lm}(q)$ but $\mathrm{lt}(p) \neq \mathrm{lt}(q)$ the polynomials p and q are incompatible.

The pair (m, f), where m is a monomial and f an element of $\mathbb{K}\langle X^*\rangle$, is called a *rewriting rule* if $m > f$. Note that every element $p \in \mathbb{K}\langle X^*\rangle$ gives a rewriting rule $r(p) = (\mathrm{lm}(p), f)$ where $f = (p - \mathrm{lt}(p))/\lambda$ and λ is the leading coefficient of p. We will say that h is a result of application of (m, f) to g if there is $m' \in \mathrm{supp}(g)$ such that $m' = umv$ for some u, $v \in X^*$, and $h = g - \lambda m' + \lambda ufv$, where λ is the coefficient of m in g. We will write in this situation $g \to_r h$. If $r = r(p)$ for some $p \in \mathbb{K}\langle X^*\rangle$ then we write $g \to_f h$ instead of $g \to_{r(p)} h$. Let S be a collection of rewriting rules or polynomials. Then $g \to_S h$ denotes that there is $r \in S$ such that $g \to_r h$. Formally, $\to_S$ is a set relation on $\mathbb{K}\langle X^*\rangle$. We denote by $\to_S^*$ the reflexive and transitive closure of $\to_S$. An element g of $\mathbb{K}\langle X^*\rangle$ is called *non-reducible* with respect to the set of rewriting rules or polynomials S if g is a minimal element of $\mathbb{K}\langle X^*\rangle$ with respect to $\to_S^*$.

Definition 1. *Let A be an algebra over a field $\mathbb{K}$ and $X = \{\, a_i \mid i \in I\}$ a set of generators of A. Denote by π the canonical projection from $\mathbb{K}\langle X^*\rangle$ to A. We say that a subset S of $\ker(\pi)$ is a* Gröbner basis *of $\ker(\pi)$ if π restricted on the vector space of non-reducible elements with respect $\{\, r(p) \mid p \in S\}$ is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{K}$-vector spaces. A Gröbner basis S is called* reduced *if elements $p \in S$ are non-reducible with respect to $S \setminus \{p\}$.*

Suppose that $\leq$ is an artinian monoidal order on X^*, that is every descending chain in X^* stabilizes. Let $f \in \mathbb{K}\langle X^*\rangle$. If f is reducible with respect to a Gröbner basis then there is f_1 such that $f \to_S f_1$. By definition of Gröbner basis $f_1 < f$ with respect to the induced ordering on $\mathbb{K}\langle X^*\rangle$. If f_1 is reducible we can find f_2 such that $f_1 \to_S f_1$, $f_1 > f_2$ and so on. Thus we get a descending sequence $f > f_1 > f_2 > \dots$. As we assumed that the ordering $\leq$ is artinian this sequence have to break. Thus there is f' that is non-reducible with respect to S and $f \to_S f'$. We call f' the normal form of f with respect to S and denote it by $NF(f, S)$. Note that the use of the article "the" is justified by the fact that f' is unique. In fact suppose there are f' and f'' such that $f \to_S f'$ and $f \to_S f''$. Then $f' - f'' = (f' - f) + (f - f'') \in \ker(\pi)$ is an element of the kernel of the natural projection $\pi \colon \mathbb{K}\langle X^* \rangle \to A$. Moreover, all monomials in $f' - f''$ are non-reducible with respect to S. Since the images of non-reducible monomials with respect to S give a basis of A under the map π it immediately follows that $f' - f'' = 0$.

The notion of Gröbner basis is closely connected with the notion of critical pairs. We say that two monomials m_1, $m_2 \in X^*$ *overlaps* if there are u, v, $w \in X^*$ such that $m_1 = uv$ and $m_2 = vw$. Note that two given monomials can have different overlappings. To make things more convenient we define an *overlapping* as a triple (m, m_1, m_2), such that there are u, $v \in X^*$ such that $m = m_1 v$ and $m = u m_2$.

Definition 2. *A* critical pair *is a triple* (w, r_1, r_2)*, where* w *is a word and* $r_1 = (m_1, f_1)$*,* $r_2 = (m_2, f_2)$ *are rewriting rules such that there are* u*,* $v \in X^*$ *with the property*

$$w = um_1 = m_2 v \textit{ or } w = um_1 v = m_2.$$

A word w *is called the* tip *of the critical pair* (w, r_1, r_2)*.*

Let (w, r_1, r_2) be a critical pair with r_1, $r_2 \in S$ and u, $v \in X^*$ such that $w = um_1 = m_2 v$ (or $w = um_1 v = m_2$). It is called reducible if $uf_1 - f_2 v \to_S^* 0$ (respectively $uf_1 v - f_2 \to_S^* 0$). The set of rewriting rules S is called complete if all critical pairs (w, r_1, r_2) with r_1, $r_2 \in S$ are reducible.

Theorem 1. *Suppose* $\leq$ *is artinian monoidal ordering on* X^**. A subset* S *of* $\mathbb{K}\langle X^* \rangle$ *is a Gröbner basis of a two-sided ideal* $I \subset \mathbb{K}\langle X^* \rangle$ *if and only if the set of rewriting rules* $\{\, r(p) \mid p \in S\}$ *is complete.*

We shall need the following proposition

Proposition 1. *Suppose* R *is a complete rewriting system in variables* X *and* Y *is a subset of* X*. We denote by* $R(Y)$ *the subset of* R *that consist from all the rules* (m, p) *such that* $m \in Y^*$*. If for all* $(m, p) \in R(Y)$ *we have* $p \in \mathbb{K}\langle Y^* \rangle$ *then* $R(Y)$ *is a complete rewriting system.*

Proof. Suppose $f \in \mathbb{K}\langle Y^* \rangle$ and $f \to_R g$ then $f \to_{(m,p)} g$ for some $(m, p) \in R$. Since $m \preceq m'$ for some $m' \in \operatorname{supp}(f)$ and $m' \in Y^*$ we get that $(m, p) \in R(Y)$. By assumption of the proposition we get $p \in \mathbb{K}\langle Y^* \rangle$. Therefore $g \in \mathbb{K}\langle Y^* \rangle$ and $f \to_{R(Y)} g$. Now by repetition we get that $f \in \mathbb{K}\langle Y^* \rangle$ and $f \to_R^* g$ implies that $f \to_{R(Y)}^* g$.

Suppose that (w, r_1, r_2) is an overlap of two rules from $R(Y)$ and u, $v \in Y^*$ are such that $w = m_1 v = u m_2$ ($w = um_1 v = m_2$). Then $p_1 v - up_2 \in \mathbb{K}\langle Y^* \rangle$ ($up_1 v - p_2 \in \mathbb{K}\langle Y^* \rangle$) and $p_1 v - up_2 \to_R 0$ ($up_1 v - p_2 \to_R 0$), since R is complete. But then $p_1 v - up_2 \to_{R(Y)} 0$ ($up_1 v - p_2 \to_{R(Y)} 0$), which shows that $R(Y)$ is complete. □

3 Konstant form of universal enveloping algebra

Denote by sl_3^+ the Lie algebra of upper triangular nilpotent 3×3 matrices. Let $\mathfrak{U}_3^+(\mathbb{C})$ be its universal enveloping algebra over $\mathbb{C}$. We shall consider sl_3^+ with the standard basis

$$e_\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 0&1&0\\0&0&0\\0&0&0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad e_\beta = \begin{pmatrix} 0&0&0\\0&1&0\\0&0&0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad e_{\alpha+\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 0&0&1\\0&0&0\\0&0&0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

They also generate $\mathfrak{U}_3^+(\mathbb{C})$ as an associative algebra. It follows from the Poincare-Birkhoff-Witt Theorem, that the set

$$\mathbb{B} = \left\{ e_\alpha^{k_\alpha} e_{\alpha+\beta}^{k_{\alpha+\beta}} e_\beta^{k_\beta} \middle| k_\alpha, k_{\alpha+\beta}, k_\beta \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

is a $\mathbb{C}$-basis of $\mathfrak{U}_3^+(\mathbb{C})$. For $\omega \in \{\alpha, \alpha+\beta, \beta\}$, denote by $e_\omega^{(k)}$ the element $\frac{1}{k!} e_\omega^k$ of the algebra $\mathfrak{U}_n(\mathbb{C})$. We define $\mathfrak{U}_3^+(\mathbb{Z})$ to be the $\mathbb{Z}$-sublattice of $\mathfrak{U}_3^+(\mathbb{C})$ generated by the set

$$\overline{\mathbb{B}} = \left\{ e_\alpha^{(k_\alpha)} e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(k_{\alpha+\beta})} e_\beta^{(k_\beta)} \middle| k_\alpha, k_{\alpha+\beta}, k_\beta \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

Proposition 2. *The set $\mathfrak{U}_3^+(\mathbb{Z})$ is a subring of $\mathfrak{U}_3^+(\mathbb{C})$. In other words, $\mathfrak{U}_3^+(\mathbb{Z})$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra. It is called the* Kostant form *of the universal enveloping algebra $\mathfrak{U}_3^+(\mathbb{C})$ over $\mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. For a proof see [2, Lemma 2 after Proposition 3] and [2, Remark 3] thereafter. □

Definition 3. *For any field $\mathbb{K}$, the algebra $\mathfrak{U}_3^+(\mathbb{K}) := \mathbb{K} \otimes_\mathbb{Z} \mathfrak{U}_3^+(\mathbb{Z})$ is called* Kostant form *of the algebra $\mathfrak{U}_3^+(\mathbb{C})$ over $\mathbb{K}$.*

Let S be a free monoid generated by α and β. We define S-grading on $sl_3^+(\mathbb{C})$ by

$$\deg(e_\alpha) := \alpha \qquad \deg(e_{\alpha+\beta}) := \alpha+\beta \qquad \deg(e_\beta) := \beta.$$

This grading extends to the grading of $\mathfrak{U}_3^+(\mathbb{C})$ by

$$\deg\left(e_\alpha^{k_\alpha} e_{\alpha+\beta}^{k_{\alpha+\beta}} e_\beta^{k_\beta} \right) := (k_\alpha + k_{\alpha+\beta})\,\alpha + (k_{\alpha+\beta} + k_\beta)\,\beta.$$

It induces a grading on the algebras $\mathfrak{U}_3^+(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\mathfrak{U}_3^+(\mathbb{K})$, for an arbitrary field $\mathbb{K}$, such that

$$\deg\left(e_\alpha^{(k_\alpha)} e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(k_{\alpha+\beta})} e_\beta^{(k_\beta)} \right) := (k_\alpha + k_{\alpha+\beta})\,\alpha + (k_{\alpha+\beta} + k_\beta)\,\beta.$$

We also define the norm N on S by

$$\mathrm{N}(k_\alpha \alpha + k_\beta \beta) := k_\alpha + k_\beta$$

and will denote the composition of deg with N by Deg.

4 Big Gröbner basis

In this section we describe a Gröbner basis of the algebra $\mathfrak{U}_3^+(\mathbb{K})$ with respect to the generating set $X = \left\{ e_\alpha^{(k_\alpha)}, e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(k_{\alpha+\beta})}, e_\beta^{(k_\beta)} \,\middle|\, k_\alpha, k_{\alpha+\beta}, k_\beta \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$. Let $Y = \{e_\alpha, e_{\alpha+\beta}, e_\beta\}$. We order Y^* by Deg-lexicographical ordering induced by the ordering

$$e_\alpha < e_{\alpha+\beta} < e_\beta$$

on Y. We have the map $\phi\colon X^* \to Y^*$ of free monoids induced by

$$e_\alpha^{(k)} \mapsto e_\alpha^k \qquad e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(k)} \mapsto e_{\alpha+\beta}^k \qquad e_\beta^{(k)} \mapsto e_\beta^k.$$

We define the ordering $\ll$ on X^* as follows. If $\phi(u) < \phi(v)$, then $u \ll v$. If $\phi(u) = \phi(v)$ and the length of u is less then the length of v, then $u \ll v$. If $\phi(u) = \phi(v)$ and both words u and $v \in X^*$ have the same length, then we compare them lexicographically with respect to the ordering

$$e_\alpha < e_{\alpha+\beta} < e_\beta < e_\alpha^{(2)} < e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(2)} < e_\beta^{(2)} < \cdots < e_\alpha^{(k)} < e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(k)} < e_\beta^{(k)} < \ldots$$

on X. Since Deg-lexicographical ordering on Y^* is terminating and every fiber of ϕ is finite, it follows that also the ordering $\ll$ on X^* is terminating. It is also easy to see that $\ll$ is monomial. In fact, let u, v, $w \in X^*$. Then $\phi(u) < \phi(v)$ implies $\phi(uv) < \phi(vw)$; if $\phi(u) = \phi(v)$ and the length of u is less then the length of v, then $\phi(uw) = \phi(vw)$ and the length of uw is less then the length of vw; if $\phi(u) = \phi(v)$, u and v have the same length, and $u < v$ with respect to the lexicographical ordering, then $\phi(uw) = \phi(vw)$, uw and vw have the same length, and uw is less then vw with respect to the lexicographical ordering. Thus $u \ll v$ implies $uw \ll vw$. The stability with respect to the left multiplication is verified analogous.

Theorem 2. *Let X and the ordering on X be as above. Then the following set of rewriting rules is complete:*

$$e_\alpha^{(k)} e_\alpha^{(l)} \to \binom{k+l}{k} e_\alpha^{(k+l)} \tag{1}$$

$$e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(k)} e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(l)} \to \binom{k+l}{k} e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(k+l)} \tag{2}$$

$$e_\beta^{(k)} e_\beta^{(l)} \to \binom{k+l}{k} e_\beta^{(k+l)} \tag{3}$$

$$e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(k)} e_\alpha^{(l)} \mapsto e_\alpha^{(l)} e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(k)} \tag{4}$$

$$e_\beta^{(k)} e_\alpha^{(l)} \mapsto \sum_{j=0}^{min(k,l)} (-1)^j \, e_\alpha^{(l-j)} e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(j)} e_\beta^{(k-j)} \tag{5}$$

$$e_\beta^{(k)} e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(l)} \mapsto e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(l)} e_\beta^{(k)}, \tag{6}$$

where k, $l \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. It is clear that the set

$$B = \left\{ e_{\alpha}^{(k_\alpha)} e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(k_{\alpha+\beta})} e_{\beta}^{(k_\beta)} \middle| k_\alpha, k_{\alpha+\beta}, k_\beta \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

is the set of non-reducible words with respect to the given rewriting system. By definition, the natural image of B in $\mathfrak{U}_3^+(\mathbb{K})$ is a basis of $U_3^+(\mathbb{K})$. Therefore, it is enough to check that for every rule the left hand side and the right hand side are equal in $\mathfrak{U}_3^+(\mathbb{K})$. This is obvious for (1), (2), (3), (4), (6). Thus we have only to check the claim for (5). We have to prove the equality

$$e_{\beta}^{(k)} e_{\alpha}^{(l)} = \sum_{j=0}^{min(k,l)} (-1)^j \, e_{\alpha}^{(l-j)} e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(j)} e_{\beta}^{(k-j)}$$

in $\mathfrak{U}_3^+(\mathbb{K})$. Clearly it is enough to prove the same equality in $\mathfrak{U}_3^+(\mathbb{Z})$ and, therefore in $\mathfrak{U}_3^+(\mathbb{C})$. We will do this by induction on the minimum of k and l. The case $min(k,l) = 1$ splits into two cases $k = 1$ and $l = 1$. The case $k = 1$, we prove by induction on l. For $k = l = 1$ we have

$$e_\beta e_\alpha = e_\alpha e_\beta - e_{\alpha+\beta}.$$

Suppose we have proved equality for $k = 1$ and $l \leq l_0$. Let us check it for $l = l_0 + 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} e_\beta e_\alpha^{(l)} &= \frac{1}{l} e_\beta e_\alpha^{(l-1)} e_\alpha \qquad\qquad \text{induction assumption} \\ &= \frac{1}{l} \left(e_\alpha^{(l-1)} e_\beta - e_\alpha^{(l-2)} e_{\alpha+\beta} \right) e_\alpha \\ &= \frac{1}{l} \left(e_\alpha^{(l-1)} e_\alpha e_\beta - e_\alpha^{(l-1)} e_{\alpha+\beta} - e_\alpha^{(l-2)} e_\alpha e_{\alpha+\beta} \right) \\ &= e_\alpha^{(l)} e_\beta - \frac{1}{l} \left(1 + l - 1)\right) e_\alpha^{(r-1)} e_{\alpha+\beta} \\ &= e_\alpha^{(l)} e_\beta - e_\alpha^{(l-1)} e_{\alpha+\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we prove the equality in the case $l = 1$ and $k \geq 2$. Suppose it is proved for all $k \leq k_0$. Let us show it for $k = k_0 + 1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} e_\beta^{(k)} e_\alpha &= \frac{1}{k} e_\beta e_\beta^{(k-1)} e_\alpha \\ &= \frac{1}{k} \left(e_\beta e_\alpha e_\beta^{(k-1)} - e_\beta e_{\alpha+\beta} e_\beta^{(k-2)} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{k} \left(e_\alpha e_\beta e_\beta^{(k-1)} - e_{\alpha+\beta} e_\beta^{(k-1)} - e_{\alpha+\beta} e_\beta e_\beta^{(k-2)} \right) \\ &= e_\alpha e_\beta^{(k)} - e_{\alpha+\beta} e_\beta^{(k-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose we have prove equality for all k and l such that $min(k,r) \leq m_0$. Let us prove it for $min(k,r) = m_0 + 1$. There are two cases $k = m_0 + 1$ and $l = m_0 + 1$.

As the computations are very similar we will treat only the first case.

$$\begin{aligned}
e_\beta^{(k)} e_\alpha^{(l)} &= \frac{1}{k} e_\beta e_\beta^{(k-1)} e_\alpha^{(l)} \\
&= \frac{1}{k} \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} (-1)^s \, e_\beta e_\alpha^{(l-s)} e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(s)} e_\beta^{k-1-s} \\
&= \frac{1}{k} \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} (-1)^s \left(e_\alpha^{(l-s)} e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(s)} e_\beta e_\beta^{(k-1-s)} - e_\alpha^{(l-s-1)} e_{\alpha+\beta} e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(s)} e_\beta^{(k-1-s)} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{k} \sum_{s=0}^{k} ((-1)^s \, (k-s) - (-1)^{s-1} \, s) e_\alpha^{(l-s)} e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(s)} e_\beta^{(k)} = \sum_{s=0}^{k} (-1)^s \, e_\alpha^{(l-s)} e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(s)} e_\beta^{(k)}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 1. *Let p be a characteristic of the field $\mathbb{K}$ and $m \geq 0$. Then the linear span $\mathfrak{U}_3^m(\mathbb{K})$ of the set*

$$B' = \left\{ e_\alpha^{(k_\alpha)} e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(k_{\alpha+\beta})} e_\beta^{(k_\beta)} \,\middle|\, k_\alpha, k_{\alpha+\beta}, k_\beta \leq p^m - 1 \right\}$$

is a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{U}_3^+(\mathbb{K})$

Proof. We claim that $\mathfrak{U}_3^m(\mathbb{K})$ is the subalgebra A of $\mathfrak{U}_3^+(\mathbb{K})$ generated by the set

$$X' = \left\{ e_\alpha^{(k)}, e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(k)}, e_\beta^{(k)} \,\middle|\, k \leq p^m - 1 \right\}.$$

It is enough to show that the set B' is a basis of A. Let R be rewriting system defined in Theorem 2. We claim that $R(X')$ is complete. To prove this we apply Proposition 1. It is obvious for the rules (4), (5), and (6), that if the left hand side is an element of $(X')^*$, then all the monomials on the right hand side are also elements of $(X')^*$. Moreover, if $k + l \leq p^m - 1$ then the same is true for the rewriting rules (1), (2), and (3). Suppose k, $l \leq p^m - 1$ and $k + l \geq p^m$. Then $\binom{k+l}{k} = 0$ in $\mathbb{K}$. In fact, the degree of p in the prime decomposition of $n!$ is given by the formula

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{n}{p^j} \right].$$

Therefore, the degree of p in the prime decomposition of $\binom{k+l}{k}$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\left[\frac{k+l}{p^j} \right] - \left[\frac{k}{p^j} \right] - \left[\frac{l}{p^j} \right] \right) &= \left[\frac{k+l}{p^m} \right] + \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} \left(\left[\frac{k+l}{p^j} \right] - \left[\frac{k}{p^j} \right] - \left[\frac{l}{p^j} \right] \right) \\
&\geq \left[\frac{k+l}{p^m} \right] = 1 > 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for the rules (1), (2), (3) and $k + l \geq p^m$, we get

$$e_\alpha^{(k)} e_\alpha^{(l)} \to 0 \qquad e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(k)} e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(l)} \to 0 \qquad e_\beta^{(k)} e_\beta^{(l)} \to 0.$$

This shows that $R(X')$ is complete. Now, it is obvious that B' is the set of non-reducible monomials in the alphabet X' with respect to the rewriting system $R(X')$. This shows that B' is a basis of the algebra A'. $\square$

5 Small Gröbner basis

The Gröbner basis obtained in the previous section is not convenient for the construction of minimal projective resolution of $\mathbb{K}$, since the Anick resolution is much closer to the minimal resolution, if the chosen generating set is minimal.

Denote $e_\alpha^{(p^k)}$ by a_k and $e_\beta^{(p^k)}$ by b_k.

Theorem 3. *For any $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ the set $Z_m := \{\, a_l, b_l \mid l \leq m-1\}$ generates the algebra $\mathfrak{U}_3^m(\mathbb{K})$. And, therefore, the set $Z := \{\, a_l, b_l \mid l \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ generates $\mathfrak{U}_3^+(\mathbb{K})$.*

Proof. We know that $U_3^m(\mathbb{K})$ is generated by the elements $e_\alpha^{(k)}$, $e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(k)}$, $e_\beta^{(k)}$, $k \leq p^m - 1$. Thus it is enough to show that these elements can be written as linear combination of monomials in a_l, b_l, $l \leq m-1$.

Suppose $k = k_l p^{m-1} + k_{l-1}p^{m-2} + \cdots + k_0$ with $0 \leq k_s \leq p-1$. Then it follows from the Lucas' theorem [3, (137)] and (1), (2), (3), that

$$e_\alpha^{(k)} = \prod_{s=0}^{m-1} e_\alpha^{(k_s p^s)}, \qquad e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(k)} = \prod_{s=0}^{m-1} e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(k_s p^s)}, \qquad e_\beta^{(k)} = \prod_{s=0}^{m-1} e_\beta^{(k_s p^s)}.$$

Now, for any $0 \leq k_s \leq p-1$ the integer $k_s!$ is invertible in $\mathbb{K}$. Therefore

$$e_\alpha^{(k_s p^s)} = \frac{1}{k_s!}\left(e_\alpha^{(p^s)}\right)^{k_s}, \quad e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(k_s p^s)} = \frac{1}{k_s!}\left(e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(p^s)}\right)^{k_s}, \quad e_\beta^{(k_s p^s)} = \frac{1}{k_s!}\left(e_\beta^{(p^s)}\right)^{k_s}.$$

Thus the algebra $\mathfrak{U}_3^m(\mathbb{K})$ is generated by the elements a_l, b_l, and $e_{\alpha+\beta}^{p^l}$, $l \leq m-1$.

From (5), it follows that

$$e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(p^l)} = (-1)^{p^l}\left(e_\beta^{(p^l)} e_\alpha^{(p^l)} - \sum_{j=0}^{p^l-1} (-1)^j\, e_\alpha^{(p^l-j)} e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(j)} e_\beta^{(p^l-j)}\right).$$

From this equality by recursion on l, it follows that $e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(p^l)}$ can be written as a linear combination of monomials in a_s, b_s with $s \leq l$. $\square$

We will consider Deg-lexicographical order on Z_m that corresponds to the ordering

$$a_0 < b_0 < a_1 < b_1 < \cdots < a_m < b_m.$$

on Z_m. To establish the Gröbner basis of $\mathfrak{U}_3^m(\mathbb{K})$ for the generating set Z_m with respect to the above ordering, we prove some equalities between the elements a_k, b_k, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Proposition 3. *For any k we have $a_k^p = b_k^p = 0$.*

Proof. We know that a_k is an element of the subalgebra $\mathfrak{U}_3^{k+1}(\mathbb{K})$, and that a_k^p is a linear multiple of a_{k+1}. Since $a_{k+1} \notin \mathfrak{U}_3^{k+1}(\mathbb{K})$, it follows that the coefficient of multiplication is zero, and therefore $a_k^p = 0$. The claim $b_k^p = 0$ is proved in the same way. $\square$

Proposition 4. *For any l and k elements a_l and a_k commutes. Similarly b_l and b_k.*

Proof. Obvious. $\square$

Proposition 5. *For any $l > k$ we have*

$$a_l b_k - b_k a_l + (-1)^{l-k} a_k^{p-1} b_k a_k a_{k+1}^{p-1} \dots a_{l-1}^{p-1} = 0 \tag{7}$$

$$b_l a_k - a_k b_l - (-1)^{l-k} b_k a_k b_k^{p-1} b_{k+1}^{p-1} \dots b_{l-1}^{p-1} = 0 \tag{8}$$

in $\mathfrak{U}_3^+(\mathbb{K})$.

Proof. First we note, that $a_k^{p-1} = -e_\alpha^{\left((p-1)p^k\right)}$. In fact, $a_k^{p-1} = (p-1)! e_\alpha^{\left((p-1)p^k\right)}$. Now $(p-1)!$ is the product of all elements in $\mathbb{F}_p^*$. The elements of $\mathbb{F}_p^* \setminus \{1, -1\}$ can be grouped in pairs $\left\{\lambda, \lambda^{-1}\right\}$ with $\lambda \neq \lambda^{-1}$. Therefore the product $(p-1)!$ equals to $1 \cdot -1 = -1$.

By (5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} b_k a_l = e_\beta^{\left(p^k\right)} e_\alpha^{\left(p^l\right)} &= e_\alpha^{\left(p^l\right)} e_\beta^{\left(p^k\right)} + \sum_{j=1}^{p^k} (-1)^j e_\alpha^{\left(p^l - j\right)} e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(j)} e_\beta^{\left(p^k - j\right)} \\ &= a_l b_k + \sum_{j=1}^{p^k} (-1)^j e_\alpha^{\left(p^l - j\right)} e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(j)} e_\beta^{\left(p^k - j\right)}. \end{aligned}$$

For $1 \leq p^k$, we have

$$p^l - j = (p-1) p^{l-1} + (p-1) p^{l-2} + \dots + (p-1) p^k + \left(p^k - j\right), \tag{9}$$

where $p^k - j \leq p^k - 1$. Therefore from (1) and the Lucas' theorem, it follows that $e_\alpha^{\left(p^l - j\right)} = e_\alpha^{\left((p-1)p^k\right)} e_\alpha^{\left(p^l - p^{k+1} + p^k - j\right)}$. Moreover, $\binom{p^l}{(p-1)p^k} = 0$ and $e_\alpha^{\left((p-1)p^k\right)} e_\alpha^{\left(p^l - p^{k+1} - p^k\right)} = 0$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{p^k} (-1)^j e_\alpha^{\left(p^l - j\right)} e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(j)} e_\beta^{\left(p^k - j\right)} &= e_\alpha^{\left((p-1)p^k\right)} \sum_{j=0}^{p^k} (-1)^j e_\alpha^{\left(p^l - p^{k+1} + p^k - j\right)} e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(j)} e_\beta^{\left(p^k - j\right)} \\ &= e_\alpha^{\left((p-1)p^k\right)} \left(e_\beta^{\left(p^k\right)} e_\alpha^{\left(p^l - p^{k+1} + p^k\right)} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we used (2). Now

$$p^l - p^{k+1} + p^k = (p-1) p^{l-1} + \dots + (p-1) p^{k+1} + p^k.$$

Therefore by the Lucas' theorem and (1)

$$\begin{aligned} e_\alpha^{\left(p^l-p^{k+1}+p^k\right)} &= e_\alpha^{\left(p^k\right)} e_\alpha^{\left((p-1)p^{k+1}\right)} \dots e_\alpha^{\left((p-1)p^{l-1}\right)} \\ &= (-1)^{l-k-1}\, a_k a_{k+1}^{p-1} \dots a_{l-1}^{p-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally we get

$$b_k a_l = a_l b_k + (-1)^{l-k} a_k^{p-1} b_k a_k a_{k+1}^{p-1} \dots a_{l-1}^{p-1}.$$

Now we prove (8). We have by (5)

$$b_l a_k = e_\beta^{\left(p^l\right)} e_\alpha^{\left(p^k\right)} = e_\alpha^{\left(p^k\right)} e_\beta^{\left(p^l\right)} + \sum_{j=1}^{p^k} (-1)^j\, e_\alpha^{\left(p^k-j\right)} e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(j)} e_\beta^{\left(p^l-j\right)}.$$

From (9), the Lucas' theorem and (3), we get

$$e_\beta^{\left(p^l-j\right)} = e_\beta^{\left(p^k-j\right)} e_\beta^{(p-1)p^k} \dots e_\beta^{(p-1)p^{l-1}}.$$

Taking into the account that $b_s^{p-1} = -e_\beta^{((p-1)p^s)}$, for all $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and

$$e_\beta^{\left(p^l-p^{k+1}+p^k\right)} e_\beta^{\left(p^{k+1}-p^k\right)} = 0,$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{p^k} (-1)^j\, e_\alpha^{\left(p^k-j\right)} e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(j)} e_\beta^{\left(p^l-j\right)} &= \left(\sum_{j=0}^{p^k} e_\alpha^{\left(p^k-j\right)} e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(j)} e_\beta^{\left(p^k-j\right)} \right) (-1)^{l-k}\, b_k^{p-1} \dots b_{l-1}^{p-1} \\ &= (-1)^{l-k}\, b_k a_k b_k^{p-1} \dots b_{l-1}^{p-1} \end{aligned}$$

and (8) follows. □

Proposition 6. *For all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we have $(b_k a_k)^p = (a_k b_k)^p$.*

Proof. We have

$$(b_k a_k)^p = \left(a_k b_k + \sum_{j=1}^{p^k-1} e_\alpha^{\left(p^k-j\right)} e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(j)} e_\beta^{\left(p^k-j\right)} + (-1)^{p^k} e_{\alpha+\beta}^{\left(p^k\right)} \right)^p.$$

Let $1 \leq j \leq p^k - 1$ and $1 \leq s \leq p^k - 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & e_\alpha^{\left(p^k-j\right)} e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(j)} e_\beta^{\left(p^k-j\right)} e_\alpha^{\left(p^k-s\right)} e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(s)} e_\beta^{\left(p^k-s\right)} = \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{min\left(p^k-j,p^k-s\right)} (-1)^r\, e_\alpha^{\left(p^k-j\right)} e_\alpha^{\left(p^k-s-r\right)} e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(j)} e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(r)} e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(s)} e_\beta^{\left(p^k-j-r\right)} e_\beta^{\left(p^k-s\right)}. \end{aligned}$$

Every monom on the right hand side of the last formula has the form

$$e_{\alpha}^{(k_1)}e_{\alpha}^{(k_2)}e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(k_3)}e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(k_4)}e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(k_5)}e_{\beta}^{(k_6)}e_{\beta}^{(k_7)},$$

with $0 \leq k_i \leq p^k - 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq 7$. In particular, every such monom is an element of $\mathfrak{U}_3^k(\mathbb{K})$. Moreover, we have equations

$$\begin{aligned} k_1 + \quad k_3 \qquad\qquad\qquad &= p^k \\ k_2 + \quad k_4 + k_5 \qquad &= p^k \\ k_3 + k_4 + \quad k_6 \quad &= p^k \\ k_5 + \quad k_7 &= p^k. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $k_1 + k_2 + 2(k_3 + k_4 + k_5) + k_6 + k_7 = 4p^k$. Thereforeat least one of the following inequalities holds

$$\begin{aligned} k_1 + k_2 &\geq p^k \\ k_3 + k_4 + k_5 &\geq p^k \\ k_6 + k_7 &\geq p^k. \end{aligned}$$

Since the elements with divided power greater or equal then p^k do not lie in $\mathfrak{U}_3^k(\mathbb{K})$, we get that one of the products

$$e_{\alpha}^{(k_1)}e_{\alpha}^{(k_2)} \qquad e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(k_3)}e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(k_4)}e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(k_5)} \qquad e_{\beta}^{(k_6)}e_{\beta}^{(k_7)}$$

is zero. This shows that

$$e_{\alpha}^{\left(p^k-j\right)}e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(j)}e_{\beta}^{\left(p^k-j\right)}e_{\alpha}^{\left(p^k-s\right)}e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(s)}e_{\beta}^{\left(p^k-s\right)} = 0.$$

In particular, any two elements in the sum $\sum_{j=1}^{p^k-1} e_{\alpha}^{\left(p^k-j\right)}e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(j)}e_{\beta}^{\left(p^k-j\right)}$ commute.

Now consider for $1 \leq j \leq p^k - 1$ the product

$$\begin{aligned} e_{\alpha}^{\left(p^k\right)}e_{\beta}^{\left(p^k\right)}e_{\alpha}^{\left(p^k-j\right)}e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(j)}e_{\beta}^{\left(p^k-j\right)} &= e_{\alpha}^{\left(p^k\right)}e_{\alpha}^{\left(p^k-j\right)}e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(j)}e_{\beta}^{\left(p^k\right)}e_{\beta}^{\left(p^k-j\right)} \\ &+ \sum_{r=1}^{p^k-j} (-1)^r e_{\alpha}^{\left(p^k\right)}e_{\alpha}^{\left(p^k-j-r\right)}e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(r)}e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(j)}e_{\beta}^{\left(p^k-r\right)}e_{\beta}^{\left(p^k-j\right)}. \end{aligned}$$

Every monom on the right hand side for $1 \leq r \leq p^k - 1$ can be written in the form

$$e_{\alpha}^{\left(p^k\right)}e_{\alpha}^{(k_1)}e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(k_2)}e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(k_3)}e_{\beta}^{(k_4)}e_{\beta}^{(k_5)},$$

where $1 \leq k_i \leq p^k - 1$ for $2 \leq i \leq 5$. Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} k_2 + k_4 &= p^k \\ k_3 + k_5 &= p^k, \end{aligned}$$

which implies $k_2+k_3+k_4+k_5 = 2p^k$ and, therefore, $k_2+k_3 \geq p^k$ or $k_4+k_5 \geq p^k$. By the same consideration as above, we get that $e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(k_2)} e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(k_3)} = 0$ or $e_{\beta}^{(k_4)} e_{\beta}^{(k_5)} = 0$, and thus

$$e_{\alpha}^{\left(p^k\right)} e_{\alpha}^{(k_1)} e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(k_2)} e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(k_3)} e_{\beta}^{(k_4)} e_{\beta}^{(k_5)} = 0.$$

Therefore

$$e_{\alpha}^{\left(p^k\right)} e_{\beta}^{\left(p^k\right)} e_{\alpha}^{\left(p^k-j\right)} e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(j)} e_{\beta}^{\left(p^k-j\right)} = e_{\alpha}^{\left(p^k\right)} e_{\alpha}^{\left(p^k-j\right)} e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(j)} e_{\beta}^{\left(p^k\right)} e_{\beta}^{\left(p^k-j\right)}.$$

Similarly, it can be shown that for $1 \leq j \leq p^k - 1$

$$e_{\alpha}^{\left(p^k-j\right)} e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(j)} e_{\beta}^{\left(p^k-j\right)} e_{\alpha}^{\left(p^k\right)} e_{\beta}^{\left(p^k\right)} = e_{\alpha}^{\left(p^k-j\right)} e_{\alpha}^{\left(p^k\right)} e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(j)} e_{b}^{\left(p^k-j\right)} e_{\beta}^{\left(p^k\right)}.$$

Thus $e_{\alpha}^{\left(p^k\right)} e_{\beta}^{\left(p^k\right)}$ commute with every summand of

$$\sum_{j=1}^{p^k-1} (-1)^j \, e_{\alpha}^{\left(p^k-j\right)} e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(j)} e_{\beta}^{\left(p^k-j\right)}.$$

It is obvious, that $e_{\alpha+\beta}^{\left(p^k\right)}$ commutes with $e_{\alpha}^{\left(p^k\right)} e_{\beta}^{\left(p^k\right)}$ and with every summand of $\sum_{j=1}^{p^k-1} (-1)^j \, e_{\alpha}^{\left(p^k-j\right)} e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(j)} e_{\beta}^{\left(p^k-j\right)}$. Therefore

$$\left(a_k b_k + \sum_{j=1}^{p^k-1} e_{\alpha}^{\left(p^k-j\right)} e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(j)} e_{\beta}^{\left(p^k-j\right)} + (-1)^{p^k} e_{\alpha+\beta}^{\left(p^k\right)}\right)^p =$$

$$= (a_k b_k)^p + \sum_{j=1}^{p^k-1} (-1)^{jp^k} \left(e_{\alpha}^{\left(p^k-j\right)} e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(j)} e_{\beta}^{\left(p^k-j\right)}\right)^p + \left(e_{\alpha+\beta}^{\left(p^k\right)}\right)^p = (a_k b_k)^p.$$

□

Proposition 7. *If* $\operatorname{char} \mathbb{K} \geq 3$, *then for any* $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, *we have*

$$b_k^2 a_k - 2 b_k a_k b_k + a_k b_k^2 = 0$$
$$b_k a_k^2 - 2 a_k b_k a_k + a_k^2 b_k = 0.$$

Proof. We have

$$b_k^2 a_k = \binom{2p^k}{p^k} e_{\beta}^{\left(p^k\right)} e_{\alpha}^{\left(p^k\right)} = 2 \sum_{j=0}^{p^k} e_{\alpha}^{\left(p^k-j\right)} e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(j)} e_{\beta}^{\left(2p^k-j\right)}$$
$$= 2 e_{\alpha}^{\left(p^k\right)} e_{\beta}^{\left(2p^k\right)} + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{p^k} e_{\alpha}^{\left(p^k-j\right)} e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(j)} e_{\beta}^{\left(2p^k-j\right)}$$

$$a_k b_k^2 + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{p^k} e_{\alpha}^{\left(p^k-j\right)} e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(j)} e_{\beta}^{\left(2p^k-j\right)}$$

On the other hand

$$b_k a_k b_k = e_\beta^{(p^k)} e_\alpha^{(p^k)} e_\beta^{(p^k)} = \sum_{j=0}^{p^k} e_\alpha^{(p^k-j)} e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(j)} e_\beta^{(p^k-j)} e_\beta^{(p^k)}.$$

For $j = 0$, we have $e_\beta^{(p^k-j)} e_\beta^{(p^k)} = b_k^2$. On the other hand, for $1 \le j \le p^k$, we have $2p^k = p^k + \left(p^k - j\right)$, and $0 \le p^k - j \le p^k - 1$. Therefore, from the Lucas' theorem if follows that $\binom{2p^k-j}{p^k} = 1$, and $e_\beta^{(p^k-j)} e_\beta^{(p^k)} = e_\beta^{(2p^k-j)}$. Thus

$$b_k a_k b_k = a_k b_k^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{p^k} e_\alpha^{(p^k-j)} e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(j)} e_\beta^{(2p^k-j)}$$

and

$$b_k^2 a_k - 2 b_k a_k b_k = a_k b_k^2.$$

The second equality follows from the first one, after noticing that $e_\alpha^{(k)} \mapsto e_\beta^{(k)}$, $e_\beta^{(k)} \mapsto e_\alpha^{(k)}$, $e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(k)} \mapsto (-1)^k e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(k)}$ can be prolonged to an automorphism of $\mathfrak{U}_3^+(\mathbb{K})$. ☐

Denote by π_m the natural projection $\mathbb{K}\langle Z_m^* \rangle \to \mathfrak{U}_3^m(\mathbb{K})$.

Proposition 8. *Suppose* $\operatorname{char}\mathbb{K} = p$. *The following set* G_m *of elements in* $\mathbb{K}\langle X_m^* \rangle$

$$a_l b_k - b_k a_l + (-1)^{l-k} a_k^{p-1} b_k a_k a_{k+1}^{p-1} \dots a_{l-1}^{p-1}, \qquad 0 \le k < l \le m-1 \tag{10}$$

$$b_l a_k - a_k b_l - (-1)^{l-k} b_k a_k b_k b_{k+1} \dots b_{l-1}, \qquad 0 \le k < l \le m-1 \tag{11}$$

$$a_l a_k + a_k a_l, \qquad 0 \le k < l \le m-1 \tag{12}$$

$$b_l b_k + b_k b_l, \qquad 0 \le k < l \le m-1 \tag{13}$$

$$(b_k a_k)^p - (a_k b_k)^p, \qquad 0 \le k \le m-1 \tag{14}$$

$$a_k^p, \qquad 0 \le k \le m-1 \tag{15}$$

$$b_k^p, \qquad 0 \le k \le m-1 \tag{16}$$

$$b_k^2 a_k - 2 b_k a_k b_k + a_k b_k^2, \qquad 0 \le k \le m-1,\ p \ge 3, \tag{17}$$

$$b_k a_k^2 - 2 a_k b_k a_k + a_k^2 b_k, \qquad 0 \le k \le m-1,\ p \ge 3 \tag{18}$$

is a reduced Gröbner basis of $\ker(\pi_m)$.

Proof. If follows from Propositions 3, 4, 5, 6, that G_m is a subset of $\ker(\pi)$. Thus it is enough to show that the images of non-reducible monomials in X_m^* give a basis of $\mathfrak{U}_3^m(\mathbb{K})$. Since the images of non-reducible monomials in X_m^* generate $\mathfrak{U}_3^m(\mathbb{K})$ as a vector space and $\mathfrak{U}_3^m(\mathbb{K})$ is finite dimensional, it is enough to show that the number of non-reducible monomials in X_m^* with respect to G_m is less or equal to the dimension of $U_3^m(\mathbb{K})$. From Corollary 1 it follows that the dimension of $\mathfrak{U}_3^m(\mathbb{K})$ is $(p^m)^3 = p^{3m}$.

Let t be a monomial non-reducible with respect to G_m. Since t does not contain submonomials $a_l b_k$, $b_l a_k$, $a_l a_k$, $b_l b_k$ for $0 \leq k < l \leq m-1$, the indices of variables in t weakly increase from the left to right. We denote by t_k a submonomial of t that consists from the all variables with index k. Then $t = t_0 t_1 \dots t_{m-1}$.

For $0 \leq k \leq m-1$, the monomial t_k has the form

$$a_k^{r_1} b_k^{s_1} \dots a_k^{r_n} b_k^{s_n},$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}$, r_i and s_i are non-zero natural numbers, except probably of r_1 and s_n. Since t_k does not contain subnomials $b_k^2 a_k$ and $b_k a_k^2$, we see that $s_1 = r_2 = \dots = s_{n-1} = r_n = 1$. Thus t_k has the form $a_k^{r_1} (b_k a_k)^{n-1} b_k^{s_n}$. As t_k does not contain subwords a_k^p, $(b_k a_k)^p$, and b_k^p, we get that $r_1 \leq p-1$, $n-1 \leq p-1$, and $s_n \leq p-1$. Thus the number of different possibilities for t_k does not exceed p^3, and the number of different possibilities for t does not exceed $\left(p^3\right)^m = p^{3m}$. $\square$

Corollary 2. *The set G is a reduced Gröbner basis of* $\ker(\pi)$*, where π is the natural projection $\mathbb{K}\langle Z^* \rangle \to \mathfrak{U}_3^+(\mathbb{K})$.*

Proof. It is clear that $G \subset \ker(\pi)$. Denote by R the rewriting system $\{\, r(p) \mid p \in G\}$. It is enough to show that any critical pair (w, r_1, r_2), with r_1, $r_2 \in R$ is reducible. For a given critical pair (w, r_1, r_2) there is an $m \geq 0$, such that all monomials in w, r_1, r_2 lie in Z_m. By Proposition 8 the set G_m is a Gröbner basis, therefore any critical pair (w, r_1, r_2) with $w \in Z_m^*$, r_1, $r_2 \in R_m = \{\, r(p) \mid p \in G_m\}$ is reducible. $\square$

6 Anick resolution

The Anick resolution was introduced in [**?**]. Let A be an algebra over a field $\mathbb{K}$ and $\varepsilon \colon A \to \mathbb{K}$ a homomorphism of algebras. Let $X = a_1, \dots$ be a set of generators of A and $G \subset \mathbb{K}\langle X^* \rangle$ a reduced Gröbner basis with respect to a monomial ordering $\leq$ on X^*. For this set of data Anick constructed a free resolution of $\mathbb{K}$ over A, which is nowadays called Anick resolution. We will describe only the first four steps of Anick's construction under additional assumption that $\varepsilon(x) = 0$ for all $x \in X$.

First we define sets T_k, $k = -1, 0, 1, 2$, that will serve as bases of A-free modules P_k. Denote by T_{-1} the set $\{e\}$ with one element e and by T_0 the set X. The set T_1 is the set of all leading monomials in G. Denote by $\widetilde{T}_2$ the set of all possible overlaps of elements of T_1. Every element of $\widetilde{T}_2$ is a triple (w, r_1, r_2). We say that an overlap (w, r_1, r_2) is minimal if there is no overlap (w', r_1', r_2') such that w' is a subword of w. Note that if an overlap (w, r_1, r_2) is minimal then the rules r_1 and r_2 are uniquely determined by w. In fact, suppose that (w, r_1, r_2) $(w, r_1', r_2') \in \widetilde{T}_2$. Then $w = m_1 v = m_1' v'$. But this means that m_1 is a subword of m_1' or m_1' is a subword of m_1. Since G is a reduced Gröbner basis it follows that $r_1 = r_1'$. Similarly $r_2 = r_2'$.

Define T_2 to be the set of all words w in X^* such that there exists a minimal overlap (w, r_1, r_2). Denote for $k = -1,\ 0,\ 1,\ 2$ by P_k the A-linear span of T_k. Let M be the set of all non-reducible monomials with respect to G. Then for $k = -1, 0, 1, 2$ the set

$$N_k = \{\, m.t \,|\, m \in M,\ t \in T_k \}$$

is the basis of P_k over $\mathbb{K}$.

The sets N_k have a full ordering induced by the ordering $\leq$ on X^* via the map $m.t \mapsto mt$. We define maps $\delta_n \colon P_n \to P_{n-1}$ and $j_n \colon P_{n-1} \to P_n$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_0(m.x) &:= NF(mx, G).e \\ j_0(ux.e) &:= u.x \\ \delta_1(m.t) &:= NF(mt', G).x, \text{ where } t = t'x. \end{aligned}$$

Now let $m \in M$ and $x \in X$. Suppose there are $u,\ v \in M$ such that $m = uv$ and $vx \in T_1$. Then we define $j_1(m.x) = u.vx$. Otherwise we let $j_1(m.x) = 0$. Note that j_1 is well-defined as $m = uv = u'v'$ would imply that $v \preceq v'$ or $v' \preceq v$ and therefore $vx \preceq v'x$ or $v'x \preceq v'x$. But since G is reduced Gröbner basis any two different elements of T_1 are incompatible with respect to $\preceq$ (in other words T_1 is an anti-chain in the Anick's terminology).

Let $w \in T_2$ be such that $w = m_1 v = u m_2$ with $m_1,\ m_2 \in T_1$. Define $\delta_2(m.w) = NF(mu, G).m_2$.

Suppose $t \in T_1$ and $m \in M$. If $m = uv$ for some $u,\ v \in M$ such that $vt \in T_2$ then we define $j_2(m.t) = u.vt$. Note that if such u and v exist then they are unique as G is a reduced Gröbner basis. If there is no u and v with the above property then we let $j_2(m.t) = 0$.

Note, that if A is an S-graded algebra, where S is a monoid, then the maps j_n and δ_n are homomgeneous with respect to the induced grading on the modules P_k.

Now we define homomorphisms of left A-modules $d_n \colon P_n \to P_{n-1}$ and homomorphisms of $\mathbb{K}$-vector spaces $i_n \colon \ker(d_{n-1}) \to P_n$ for $n = 0, 1, 2$ by induction. Since d_n is a homomorphism of free A-modules it is enough to define d_n on the basis elements $.t$, where $t \in T_n$. On the other hand i_n is a homomorphism of $\mathbb{K}$ vector spaces, moreover we do not have any convenient basis for $\ker(d_{n-1})$. We will define i_n by induction on the leading term of $f \in \ker(d_{n-1})$.

$$\begin{aligned} d_0(.t) &:= \delta_0(.t) \\ i_0(m.e) &:= j_0(m.e) \\ d_{n+1}(.t) &:= \delta_{n+1}(.t) - i_n d_n(\delta_{n+1}(.t)) \\ i_n(f) &:= j_n(\operatorname{lt}(f)) + i_n(f - d_n(j_n(\operatorname{lt}(f)))). \end{aligned}$$

Note that it is not obvious that d_n and i_n are well-defined. This a part of the claim of Proposition 9. The following proposition is proved in [**?**]. Note that Anick [**?**] constructed modules P_n and maps d_n for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proposition 9. *The sequence of left A-modules*

$$P_2 \xrightarrow{d_2} P_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} P_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} P_{-1} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \mathbb{K} \to 0$$

is an exact complex. If A is an S-graded algebra, then all the maps above are homogeneous. Moreover, the highest term in the expansion $d_n(.t)$ is $\delta_n(.t)$ for every n and $t \in T_n$.

Let $j < m$. We will consider the algebra $\mathfrak{U}_3^{km}(\mathbb{K})$ with the generating set X_{jm} and the Gröbner basis G_{jm}. The sets T_1 and T_2 in this case are given by

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 = {} & \{\, a_l b_k,\ b_l a_k, a_l a_k,\ b_l b_k \,|\, j \le k < l \le m-1\} \\ & \cup \{\, a_k^p,\ b_k^p, (b_k a_k)^p \,|\, j \le k \le m-1\} \\ & \cup \left\{\, b_k^2 a_k, b_k a_k^2 \,\middle|\, j \le k \le m-1, p \ge 3\right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} T_2 = {} & \left\{ \begin{array}{c} a_r a_l a_k, a_r a_l b_k, a_r b_l a_k, a_r b_l b_k, \\ b_r a_l a_k, b_r a_l b_k, b_r b_l a_k, b_r b_l b_k \end{array} \,\middle|\, j \le k < l < r \le m-1 \right\} \\ & \cup \left\{ \begin{array}{c} a_l a_k^p, a_l b_k^p, a_l (b_k a_k)^p, b_l a_k^p, b_l b_k^p, b_l (b_k a_k)^p, \\ a_l^p a_k, a_l^p b_k, b_l^p a_k, b_l^p b_k, (b_l a_l)^p a_k, (b_l a_l)^p b_k \end{array} \,\middle|\, j \le k < l \le m-1 \right\} \\ & \cup \left\{ \begin{array}{c} a_l b_k^2 a_k, a_l b_k a_k^2, b_l b_k^2 a_k, b_l b_k a_k^2, \\ b_l^2 a_l a_k, b_l^2 a_l b_k, b_l a_l^2 a_k, b_l a_l^2 b_k \end{array} \,\middle|\, j \le k < l \le m-1, p \ge 3 \right\} \\ & \cup \left\{ a_k^{p+1}, b_k^{p+1}, b_k (b_k a_k)^p, (b_k a_k)^p a_k, (b_k a_k)^{p+1} \,\middle|\, j \le k \le m-1 \right\} \\ & \cup \left\{ b_k^2 a_k^2 \,\middle|\, j \le k \le m-1, p \ge 3 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

In the tables below we list the values of deg for the elements of T_1 and T_2.

$w \in T_1$	$\deg(w)$	$w \in T_1$	$\deg(w)$	$w \in T_1,\ p \ge 3$	$\deg(w)$
$a_l b_k$	$p^l\alpha + p^k\beta$	a_k^p	$p^{k+1}\alpha$	$b_k^2 a_k$	$p^k\alpha + 2p^k\beta$
$b_l a_k$	$p^k\alpha + p^l\beta$	b_k^p	$p^{k+1}\beta$	$b_k a_k^2$	$p^k\beta + 2p^k\alpha$
$a_l a_k$	$\left(p^l + p^k\right)\alpha$	$(b_k a_k)^p$	$p^{k+1}\alpha + p^{k+1}\beta$		
$b_l b_k$	$\left(p^l + p^k\right)\beta$				

For $j \le k < l < r \le m-1$

$w \in T_2$	$\deg(w)$	$w \in T_2$	$\deg(w)$
$a_r a_l a_k$	$\left(p^r + p^l + p^k\right)\alpha$	$b_r a_l a_k$	$(p^l + p^k)\alpha + p^r\beta$
$a_r a_l b_k$	$\left(p^r + p^l\right)\alpha + p^k\beta$	$b_r a_l b_k$	$p^l\alpha + \left(p^r + p^k\right)\beta$
$a_r b_l a_k$	$\left(p^r + p^k\right)\alpha + p^l\beta$	$b_r b_l a_k$	$p^k\alpha + \left(p^r + p^l\right)\beta$
$a_r b_l b_k$	$p^l\alpha + \left(p^l + p^k\right)\beta$	$b_r b_l b_k$	$\left(p^r + p^l + p^k\right)\beta$

For $j \leq k < l \leq m-1$

$w \in T_2$	$\deg(w)$	$w \in T_2$	$\deg(w)$
$a_l a_k^p$	$\left(p^l + p^{k+1}\right)\alpha$	$a_l^p a_k$	$\left(p^{l+1} + p^k\right)\alpha$
$a_l b_k^p$	$p^l\alpha + p^{k+1}\beta$	$a_l^p b_k$	$\left(p^{l+1}\right)\alpha + p^k\beta$
$a_l\left(b_k a_k\right)^p$	$\left(p^l + p^{k+1}\right)\alpha + p^{k+1}\beta$	$b_l^p a_k$	$p^k\alpha + p^{l+1}\beta$
$b_l a_k^p$	$p^{k+1}\alpha + p^l\beta$	$b_l^p b_k$	$\left(p^{l+1} + p^k\right)\beta$
$b_l b_k^p$	$\left(p^l + p^{k+1}\right)\beta$	$\left(b_l a_l\right)^p a_k$	$\left(p^{l+1} + p^k\right)\alpha + p^{l+1}\beta$
$b_l\left(b_k a_k\right)^p$	$p^{k+1}\alpha + \left(p^{k+1} + p^l\right)\beta$	$\left(b_l a_l\right)^p b_k$	$p^{l+1}\alpha + \left(p^{l+1} + p^k\right)\beta$

For $j \leq k \leq m-1$

$w \in T_2$	$\deg(w)$	$w \in T_2$	$\deg(w)$
a_k^{p+1}	$\left(p^{k+1} + p^k\right)\alpha$	$b_k\left(b_k a_k\right)^p$	$p^{k+1}\alpha + \left(p^{k+1} + p^k\right)\beta$
b_k^{p+1}	$\left(p^{k+1} + p^k\right)\beta$	$\left(b_k a_k\right)^p a_k$	$\left(p^{k+1} + p^k\right)\alpha + p^{k+1}\beta$
		$\left(b_k a_k\right)^{p+1}$	$\left(p^{k+1} + p^k\right)(\alpha + \beta)$

For $p \geq 3$

$w \in T_2$	$\deg(w)$	$w \in T_2$	$\deg(w)$
$a_l b_k^2 a_k$	$\left(p^l + p^k\right)\alpha + 2p^k\beta$	$b_l^2 a_l a_k$	$\left(p^l + p^k\right)\alpha + 2p^l\beta$
$a_l b_k a_k^2$	$\left(p^l + 2p^k\right)\alpha + p^k\beta$	$b_l^2 a_l b_k$	$p^l\alpha + \left(2p^l + p^k\right)\beta$
$b_l b_k^2 a_k$	$p^k\alpha + \left(2p^k + p^l\right)\beta$	$b_l a_l^2 a_k$	$\left(2p^l + p^k\right)\alpha + p^l\beta$
$b_l b_k a_k^2$	$2p^k\alpha + \left(p^l + p^k\right)\beta$	$b_l a_l^2 b_k$	$2p^l\alpha + \left(p^l + p^k\right)\beta$
$b_k^2 a_k^2$	$2p^k\alpha + 2p^k\beta$		

Analizing these tables we get

Proposition 10. *The set W of pairs $(w_1, w_2) \in T_1 \times T_2$ such that* $\deg(w_1) = \deg(w_2)$ *is given by*

$$\left\{\begin{array}{c} \left(a_l b_k, a_l b_{k-1}^p\right), \left(a_l b_k, a_l b_{k-1}^p\right), \left(\left(b_k a_k\right)^p, a_{k+1} b_k\right), \left(b_l a_k, b_l a_{k-1}^p\right), \\ \left(\left(b_k a_k\right)^p, b_{k+1} a_k\right), \left(b_l b_k, b_l b_{k-1}^p\right), \left(a_{l+1} a_k, a_l^p a_k\right), \left(a_{l+1} b_k, a_l^p b_k\right), \\ \left(b_{l+1} a_k, b_l^p a_k\right), \left(b_{l+1} b_k, b_l^p b_k\right), \left(a_{k+1} a_k, a_k^{p+1}\right), \left(b_{k+1} b_k, b_k^{p+1}\right) \end{array}\right\}$$

$$\cup \Bigl\{ \left(a_k^2, a_k a_{k-1}^2\right), \left(b_k^2, b_k b_{k-1}^2\right), \Bigl(a_{l+1} b_l, a_l \left(b_{l-1} a_{l-1}\right)^2, \Bigl(b_{l+1} a_l, b_l \left(b_{l-1} a_{l-1}\right)^2\Bigr)\Bigr) \Bigm| p = 2 \Bigr\}.$$

7 Minimal resolution

Let Γ be a monoid, and A an Γ-graded algebra over a field $\mathbb{K}$. For $\gamma \in \Gamma$ we denote by $A[\gamma]$ the left Γ-graded A-module defined by

$$A\left[\gamma\right]_\alpha := \bigoplus_{\beta \in \Gamma :\ \beta\gamma = \alpha} A_\beta.$$

The modules $A\left[\gamma\right]$ are projective modules in the category of S-graded A-modules, and every projective module is a direct summand of a direct sum of modules of the form $A\left[\gamma\right]$ (cf. [6, Propositions 4.1, 5.1]).

Let M be a Γ-graded A-module. A submodule N of M is called *small* if for any submodule T of M such that $T + N = M$, we have $T = M$. A *projective cover* of a module M is a projective object P together with an epimorphism $\psi \colon P \twoheadrightarrow M$ such that the kernel of ψ is a small subobject of P. It is well known fact that if projective cover exists for the module M, the it is unique (cf. [6, Theorem 5.1]). It is proved in Proposition 5.2 of [5], that if Γ is an artinian ordered monoid such that the neutral element 0 of Γ is the minimal element, and A_0 is a perfect ring, then every Γ-graded A-module possess a projective cover. Note that the conditions of this criterion hold for $\mathfrak{U}_3^+ (\mathbb{K})$ considered as an S-graded algebra, where S is the free commutative monoid generated by α and β. In fact, S is artinian with respect to the lexicographical ordering, and 0 is the minimal element with respect to this ordering. Moreover, the 0-th component of $\mathfrak{U}_3^+ (\mathbb{K})$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{K}$ and thus is perfect.

A projective resolution

$$\cdots \to P_k \xrightarrow{d_k} \cdots \overset{d_2}{P_1} \xrightarrow{d_1} P_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} P_{-1} \xrightarrow{d_{-1}} M \to 0$$

of a Γ-graded A-module M is called minimal if the kernel of d_j is a small subobject of P_j, $j \geq -1$, or, equivalently, if the image of d_i is a small subobject of P_{i+1} for $i \geq 0$. From the uniqueness of the projective cover, it follows that the minimal resolution is unique up to isomorphism if it exists. Moreover, if every Γ-graded A-module possess a projective cover, then the existence of a minimal projecitve resolution for every Γ-graded A-module can be proved by induction.

Proposition 11. *Let $(s_i)_{i \in I}$ be a family of elements in S. Denote by N_i the direct sum $\bigoplus_{s \in S, s \neq 0} \mathfrak{U}_3^+ (\mathbb{K}) [s_i]_s$. Then $N \subset \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathfrak{U}_3^+ (\mathbb{K}) [s_i]$ is a small submodule if and only if $M \subset \bigoplus_{i \in I} N_i$.*

We will denote the submodule $\bigoplus_{i \in I} N_i$ of $P := \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathfrak{U}_3^+ (\mathbb{K}) [s_i]$, with N_i defined as above, by $\operatorname{Rad}(P)$.

Corollary 3. *The Anick resolution of $\mathfrak{U}_3^+ (\mathbb{K})$ with respect to the Gröbner basis G gives two first steps of the minimal resolution for the trivial module $\mathbb{K}$.*

Proof. We have to check that the images of d_1 and d_0 are small suobjects of P_0 and P_{-1}, respectively. For d_0, this is obvious, as $d(.x) = x.e$ for any $x \in X$, and $x.e \in \mathfrak{U}_3^+ (\mathbb{K})_{\deg(x)}$, $\deg(x) \neq 0$.

Now, let $w \in T_1$. We write w in the form $u.x$, where $x \in X$. Then

$$d_1 (.w) = u.x - i (NF (w, G)) .$$

Since, $NF (w, G)$ does not contain the terms of length one, we see that $i (NF (w, G))$ is an element of $\bigoplus_{x \in X} \bigoplus_{s \neq 0} \mathfrak{U}_3^+ (\mathbb{K}) [\deg(y)]_s$. $\square$

Unfortunately, the third step of the Anick resolution, in our situation, does not give the third step of the minimal resolution, since P_1 contains redudant summands. To find out which summands of P_1 should be skipped, we will analyze the differential $d_2 \colon P_2 \to P_1$.

First of all, note that if $w \in T_2$ is such that $\deg(w)$ is different from the degrees of elements in T_1, then $d_2(w) \in \operatorname{Rad}(P_1)$.

Thus, the only elements $w \in T_2$, for which it can happen that $d_2(.w) \notin \operatorname{Rad}(P_1)$, are given by the second components of the pairs in W defined in Proposition 10.

Now, suppose $w \in T_2$ and $u_1, \ldots, u_k \in T_1$ is the full set of elements in T_1 such that $\deg(w) = \deg(u)$. Then $d_2(.w)$ is an element of $\operatorname{Rad}(P_1)$ if and only if coefficients of $.u_1, \ldots, .u_k$ in the expansion of $d_2(.w)$ are zero.

Lemma 1. *Suppose $w \in T_2$ and $u \in T_1$ are such that $\deg(w) = \deg(u)$ and $u < w$. Then the coefficient of $.u$ in the expansion of $d_2(.w)$ is zero.*

Proof. Recall that the $\mathbb{K}$-basis of P_1 is given by $B := \{\, v'.v'' \mid NF(v', G) = v', v'' \in T_1\}$. This basis is ordered via the map $B \to X^*$, $v'.v'' \mapsto v'v''$. Now, write w in the form $v'v''$ with $v'' \in T_2$ and v' non-reducible word in X^*. Then the maximal element in the expansion of $d_2(.w)$ is $\delta_2(.w) = v'.v''$. Since $w < u$, we have $v'.v'' < u$. Therefore, the coefficient of $.u$ in the expansion of $d_2(.w)$ is zero. $\square$

Corollary 4. *The images of $.a_l b_k^{p-1}$, $.b_l a_k^p$ for $l \geq k+2$, of $.a_l a_k^p$, $.b_l b_k^p$, $.a_l^p a_k$, $.a_l^p b_k$, $.b_l^p a_k$, $.b_l^p b_k$ for $l \geq k+1$, of $.a_k^{p+1}$, $.b_k^{p+1}$ for any k, and of $.a_k a_{k-1}^2$, $.b_k b_{k-1}^2$, $.a_k (b_{k-1} a_{k-1})^2$, $.b_k (b_{k-1} a_{k-1})^2$ for $p = 2$ under d_2 are the elements* $\operatorname{Rad}(P_1)$.

Note that for the elements $b_{k+1} a_k^p$ and $a_{k+1} b_k^p$ of T_2 there are two elements in T_1 of the same degree, namely $a_{k+1} b_{k+1}$ and $(b_k a_k)^p$. Since, $a_{k+1} b_{k+1} > a_{k+1} b_k^p$, it follows from Lemma 1 that the coefficient of $.a_{k+1} b_{k+1}$ in the expansion of $d_2(.a_{k+1} b_k^p)$ is zero.

Proposition 12. *The coefficient of $.a_{k+1} b_{k+1}$ in the expansion of $d_2(.b_{k+1} a_k^p)$ is zero. The coefficients of $.(b_k a_k)^p$ in the expansion of $d_2(.a_{k+1} b_k^p)$ and in the expansion of $d_2(.b_{k+1} a_k^p)$ equal -1.*

Proof. We have

$$d_2(.a_{k+1} b_k^p) = a_{k+1}.b_k^p - i\left(a_{k+1} d_1(.b_k^p)\right).$$

It is easy to check that $d_1(.b_k^p) = b_k^{p-1}.b_k$. To compute $i\left(a_{k+1} b_k^{p-1}.b_k\right)$, we have to find the leading term in the normal form of $a_{k+1} b_k^{p-1}$.

Note that the elements a_{k+1} and b_k lie in the subalgebra $U_3^{k,k+1}(\mathbb{K})$ of $\mathfrak{U}_3^+(\mathbb{K})$, and the homogeneous component of degree $p^{k+1}\alpha + (p-1)p^k\beta$ of $\mathfrak{U}_3^{k,k+1}(\mathbb{K})$ has the basis

$$a_{k+1} b_k^p \cup \left\{\, a_k^{p-j} (b_k a_k)^j\, b_k^{p-j-1} \,\middle|\, 0 \leq j \leq p-1 \right\}.$$

We get from Proposition 8 and the above remark

$$\begin{aligned} a_{k+1} b_k^{p-1} &= b_k a_{k+1} b_k^{p-2} + a_k^{p-1} b_k a_k b_k^{p-1} \\ &= \cdots = b_k^{p-1} a_{k+1} + \sum_{j=1}^{p} \lambda_j a_k^{p-j} (b_k a_k)^j\, b_k^{p-j} \end{aligned}$$

for some coefficients $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{K}$. We see that $b_k^{p-1}a_{k+1}$ is the maximal term in the expansion of $a_{k+1}b_k^{p-1}$. Since $j\left(b_k^{p-1}a_{k+1}.b_k\right) = b_k^{p-1}.a_{k+1}b_k$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} d_2\left(.a_{k+1}b_k^p\right) &= a_{k+1}.b_k^p - b_k^{p-1}.a_{k+1}b_k \\ &\qquad + i\left(b_k^{p-1}a_{k+1}.b_k - b_k^{p-1}d_1\left(.a_{k+1}b_k\right) + \sum_{j=1}^{p} \lambda_j a_k^{p-j}\left(b_k a_k\right)^j b_k^{p-1-j}.b_k\right). \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$d_1\left(.a_{k+1}b_k\right) = a_{k+1}.b_k - b_k.a_{k+1} + a_k^{p-1}b_k.a_k.$$

Therefore

$$b_k^{p-1}a_{k+1}.b_k - b_k^{p-1}d_1\left(.a_{k+1}b_k\right) = b_k^p.a_{k+1} - b_k^{p-1}a_k^{p-1}b_k.a_k = -b_k^{p-1}a_k^{p-1}b_k.a_k.$$

Since b_k and a_k are the elements of $U_3^{k,k}\left(\mathbb{K}\right)$, the element $b_k^{p-1}a_k^{p-1}$ is a linear combination of the elements in $\left\{ a_k^{p-1-j}\left(b_k a_k\right)^j b_k^{p-1-j} \,\middle|\, 0 \le j \le p-1\right\}$. Note that $\left(b_k a_k\right)^{p-1}$ is maximal among them.

Lemma 2. *The coefficient of $\left(b_k a_k\right)^{p-1}$ in the normal expansion of $b_k^{p-1}a_k^{p-1}$ is* 1.

Proof. Since $\mathfrak{U}_3^{k,k}\left(\mathbb{K}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{U}_3^{0,0}\left(\mathbb{K}\right)$ via F_k, and $F_k\left(a_0\right) = a_k$, $F_k\left(b_0\right) = b_k$, it is enough to prove that the coefficient of $(ba)^{p-1}$ in the normal expansion of $b^{p-1}a^{p-1}$is -1, where $a := a_0$ and $b := b_0$.

We have by (5)

$$e_\beta^{(p-1)}e_\alpha^{(p-1)} = \sum_{j=0}^{p} (-1)^j\, e_\alpha^{(p-1-j)}e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(j)}e_\beta^{(p-1-j)}. \tag{19}$$

For $0 \le j \le p-1$, $e_\alpha^{(p-1-j)}e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(j)}e_\beta^{(p-1-j)}$ is a non-zero multiple of $a^{p-1-j}\left(ab-ba\right)^j b^{p-1-j}$. Consider $a^{p-1-j}\left(ab-ba\right)^j b^{p-1-j}$ as an element of the free algebra $\mathcal{F}$ generated by a and b. Then every term in $a^{p-1-j}\left(ab-ba\right)^j b^{p-1-j}$ is less then $(ba)^{p-1}$. Since during the Gröbner reduction process the tems can only decrease, we see that the coefficient of $(ba)^{p-1}$ in the normal expansion of $a^{p-1-j}\left(ab-ba\right)^j b^{p-1-j}$ is zero.

Recall that $(p-1)! = -1(\text{mod } p)$. Thus $e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(p-1)} = -e_{\alpha+\beta}^{p-1} = -\left(ab-ba\right)^{p-1}$. Again, if we consider $\left(ab-ba\right)^{p-1}$ as an element of $\mathcal{F}$, the all the terms in $\left(ab-ba\right)^{p-1}$ are less then $(ba)^{p-1}$ except $(-1)^{p-1}(ba)^{p-1}$. Thus in the normal expansion of $\left(ab-ba\right)^{p-1}$ the term $(ba)^{p-1}$ enters with the coefficient $(-1)^{p-1}$. Since the coefficient of $e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(p-1)}$ in (19) is $(-1)^p$, we see that the coefficient of $(ba)^{p-1}$ in the normal expansion of

$$b^{p-1}a^{p-1} = \left(-e_\beta^{(p-1)}\right)\left(-e_\alpha\right)^{(p-1)} = e_\beta^{(p-1)}e_\alpha^{(p-1)}$$

is $-(-1)^p(-1)^{p-1} = 1$. $\square$

Since $(b_k a_k)^{p-1} b_k.a_k$ is greater then $a_k^{p-j}(b_k a_k)^j b_k^{p-1-j}.b_k$ for any $1 \leq j \leq p$, we see that $(b_k a_k)^{p-1} a_k.b_k$ is the maximal term in the normal expansion of

$$b_k^{p-1} a_{k+1}.b_k - b_k^{p-1} d_1(.a_{k+1} b_k) + \sum_{j=1}^{p} \lambda_j a_k^{p-j}(b_k a_k)^j b_k^{p-1-j}.b_k.$$

Since $j\left((b_k a_k)^{p-1} b_k.a_k\right) = .(b_k a_k)^p$, we get

$$d_2(.a_{k+1} b_k^p) = a_{k+1}.b_k^p - b_k^{p-1}.a_{k+1} b_k - .(b_k a_k)^p - i\,(\text{smaller terms}).$$

Now we consider $d_2(.b_{k+1} a_k^p)$. We have

$$d_2(.b_{k+1} a_k^p) = b_{k+1}.a_k^p - i\,(b_{k+1} d_1(.a_k^p)).$$

It is easy to check that $d_1(.a_k^p) = a_k^{p-1}.a_k$. Thus

$$d_2(.b_{k+1} a_k^p) = b_{k+1}.a_k^p - i\left(b_{k+1} a_k^{p-1}.a_k\right).$$

Now $b_{k+1} a_k^{p-1}$ is an element of $\mathfrak{U}_3^{k,k+1}(\mathbb{K})$ of degree $(p-1)p^k\alpha + p^{k+1}\beta$, and thus is a linear combination of the elements

$$V := \left\{ a_k^{p-1-j}(b_k a_k)^j b_k^{p-j} \,\middle|\, 0 \leq j \leq p-1 \right\} \cup a_k^{p-1} b_{k+1}.$$

Note that for any $v \in V$, we have $v a_k < a_{k+1} b_{k+1}$. Therefore, $.a_{k+1} b_{k+1}$ enters with the coefficient zero in the expansion of $d_2(.b_{k+1} a_k^p)$.

Now $(b_k a_k)^{p-1} b_k$ is the maximal element of V.

Lemma 3. *The coefficient of* $(b_k a_k)^{p-1} b_k$ *in the normal expansion of* $b_{k+1} a_k^{p-1}$ *is* 1.

Proof. Since $U_3^{k,k+1}(\mathbb{K})$ is isomorphic to $U_3^{0,1}(\mathbb{K})$ via F_k, it is enough to check that the coeffecient of $(b_0 a_0)^{p-1} b_0$ in the normal expansion of $b_1 a_0^{p-1}$ is 1.

We have by (5)

$$e_\beta^{(p)} e_\alpha^{(p-1)} = \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} (-1)^j e_\alpha^{(p-j-1)} \left(e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(j)} e_\beta^{(p-j)}\right).$$

For $1 \leq j \leq p-2$, $e_\alpha^{(p-1-j)} e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(j)} e_\beta^{(p-j)}$ is a non-zero multiple of

$$f := a_0^{p-j-1}(a_0 b_0 - b_0 a_0)^j b_0^{p-j}.$$

Now the coefficient of $(b_0 a_0)^{p-1} b_0$ in f is zero since all terms of f considered as an element of the free algebra generated by a_0 and b_0 are less then $(b_0 a_0)^{p-1} b_0$.

For $j = 0$, we have $e_\alpha^{(p-1)} e_\beta^{(p)} = -a_0^{p-1} b_1$ and $a_0^{p-1} b_1 < (b_0 a_0)^{p-1} b_0$. For $j = p-1$, we get

$$e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(p-1)} e_\beta = -\left(a_0 b_0 - b_0 a_0\right)^{p-1} b_0.$$

By the considerations as in Lemma 2, we see that the coefficient of $(b_0 a_0)^{p-1} b_0$ in $(a_0 b_0 - b_0 a_0)^{p-1} b_0$ is $(-1)^{p-1}$. Therefore the coefficient of $(b_0 a_0)^{p-1} b_0$ in

$$b_1 a_0^{p-1} = -e_\beta^{(p)} e_\alpha^{(p-1)}$$

is $-(-1)^{p-1}\left(-(-1)^{p-1}\right) = 1$. $\square$

Since $j\left((b_k a_k)^{p-1} a_k . b_k\right) = .\,(b_k a_k)^p$, we get

$$d_2\left(.b_{k+1} a_k\right) = b_{k+1} . a_k - .\,(b_k a_k)^p + i\,(\text{smaller terms}).$$

$\square$

Corollary 5. *The elements* $d_2\left(.b_{k+1} a_k^p\right) + .\,(b_k a_k)^p$ *and* $d_2\left(.a_{k+1} b_k^p\right) + .\,(b_k a_k)^p$ *belong to* $\operatorname{Rad}(P_1)$.

Now we modify the Anick resolution in order to make it minimal up to the third step. We define $T_1' = \{\, w \in T_1 \mid w \neq (b_k a_k)^p \}$ and $T_2' = \{\, w \in T_2 \mid w \neq a_{k+1} b_k^p \}$. Denote by P_1' and P_2' the A-linear spans of T_1' and T_2', respectively. We define $d_1' \colon P_1' \to P_0$ to be the restriction of d_1 to P_1'. To define $d_2' \colon P_2' \to P_1'$ we proceed as follows. For every $w \in T_2'$, the expression $d_2(.w)$ can be written as A-linear combination

$$\sum_{u \in T_1'} r_u . u + \sum_k r_k .\,(b_k a_k)^p .$$

Define $d_2'(w)$ by the expression

$$\sum_{u \in T_1'} r_u . u + \sum_k r_k \left(d_2\left(.a_{k+1} b_k^p\right) + .\,(b_k a_k)^p\right).$$

Then it is obvious that the image of d_2' is a subset of $\operatorname{Rad}(P_1')$.

Proposition 13. *The complex*

$$P_2' \xrightarrow{d_2'} P_1' \xrightarrow{d_1'} P_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} P_{-1} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \mathbb{K} \to 0$$

is exact at the term P_1'.

Proof. Denote by P_2'' and P_1'' the submodules of P_2 and P_1 generated by the elements of the form $.a_{k+1} b_k^p$ and $d\left(.a_{k+1} b_k^p\right)$. It is obvious that P_2'' is a free submodule. Since $(.b_k a_k)^p$ has coefficient -1 in the expansion of $d_2\left(.a_{k+1} b_k^p\right)$, it is easy to show by induction on k, that P_1'' is a free submodule of P_1. Moreover, it is obvious that the restriction of d_2 on P_2'' induces an isomorphism between

P_2'' and P_1''. Define the A-homomorphism $\phi_2\colon P_2 \to P_2'$ by $\phi_2\,(.w) = .w$ if $w \in T_2'$ and $\phi_2\,(.w) = 0$ if $w \notin T_2'$. Define the A-homomorphism $\phi_1\colon P_1 \to P_1'$ by $\phi_2\,(.w) = .w$ if $w \in T_1'$ and $\phi_1\,(.\,(b_k a_k)^p) = .\,(b_k a_k)^p + d_2\,(.a_{k+1} b_k^p)$. Then the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
P_2'' \arrow[r, "\cong"] \arrow[d] & P_1'' \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] \\
P_2 \arrow[r, "d_2"] \arrow[d, "\phi_2"] & P_1 \arrow[r, "d_1"] \arrow[d, "\phi_1"] & P_0 \arrow[d, "\cong"] \\
P_2' \arrow[r, "d_2'"] & P_1' \arrow[r, "d_1'"] & P_0
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative, and all its columns are exact. Therefore the corresponding vertical spectral sequence collapse at stage 1. The stage 1 of the horizontal spectral sequence has the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
 & & \\
\mathrm{Ker}\,(d_2) & 0 & \mathrm{Coker}\,(d_1) \\
 & & \\
\mathrm{Ker}\,(d_2') & H_1' & \mathrm{Coker}\,(d_1')
\end{array}$$

It is easy to see that there is no high differentials that starts or terminate at H_1'. Thus H_1' is zero and $P_\bullet'$ is exact at the term P_1'. □

References

[1] D.J. Anick, *On the homology of associative algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **296** (1986), no. 2, 641–659.

[2] Bertram Kostant, *Groups over Z*, Algebraic Groups and Discontinuous Subgroups (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Boulder, Colo., 1965), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1966, pp. 90–98. MR MR0207713 (34 #7528)

[3] Edouard Lucas, *Theorie des Fonctions Numeriques Simplement Periodiques. [Continued]*, Amer. J. Math. **1** (1878), no. 3, 197–240. MR 1505164

[4] Ana Paula Santana and Ivan Yudin, *The Kostant form of* $\mathfrak{U}(sl_n^+)$ *and the Borel sublagebra of the Schur algebra* $S(n,r)$, `arXiv:0803.4382`.

[5] ———, *Perfect category-graded algebras*, Communications in Algebra **40** (2012), no. 1, 157–172.

[6] Ivan Yudin, *Semiperfect category-graded algebras*, Comm. Algebra **39** (2011), no. 1, 267–278. MR 2810601 (2012e:16103)